HENRY BRENDZEL

03/03/2009 11:52 9734676589

Please enter /Shaheda Abdin/ 03/23/2009

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER MAR 0 3 2009

LAW OFFICE OF HENRY T. BRENDZEL

		Date:	March 03, 2009
To:	Examiner: Shaheda A. Abdin	From:	Henry T. Brendzel, Esq
Fax:	571-273-8300	Fax:	(973) 467-6589
Phone:	571-270-1673	Phone:	(973) 467-2025
Re:	Serial No: 10/798,696	Pages:	Cover + 9

03/03/2009 11:52 9734676589

RECEIVED HENRY BRENDZEL

PAGE 02

Henry Brendzel

		A	40/709 606			
TRANSMITTAL	FORM	Application Number	7 10/798,696 3/11/2004			
(to be used for all corresponde	nce after initial filing)	First Named Invent				
			Shaheda A. Abdin			
		Examiner Name				
		Group/Art Unit	2629			
Total number of pages in this Submit	ssion: this page, plus 8	Attorney Docket ID	Afferton 2003-0075			
If Fee Form is not included, but a fee is due, the Commissioner is Authorized to charge Deposit Account of Henry T. Brendzel No 500732 of, and consider that appropriate requests that give rise to the fees (such as for an extension of time) have been made.						
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)						
Fee Form (Check included)	Declaration (no Mis	sing Parts Notice)	Postcard(8)			
SUBSTITUTE Amendment/Response	Assignment Papers (for an Application)		Small Entity Statement			
After Final	Drawing(s)		Request for a Refund			
Affidavit(s)/Declaration(s)	Licensing-related Pa	apers	After Allowance Communication to group			
Extension of Time Request Information Disclosure Statement	Petition		Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences			
Certified Copy of Priority documents	To Convert a Provis	sional Application	Appeal Communications to Group			
Response to Missing Parts/	Power of Attorney,	Revocation or pondence Address	(Appeal Notice, Brisf, Reply Brisf) Proprietery Information			
Incomplete Application Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.2 or 1.53	Express Abandonm	nent .	Status Letter			
	Terminal Disclaime	r	Cther			
	To Convert to Statu Registration	utory Invention				
	CIGNATURE OF ADDITIONAL	ATTORNEY OR A	GENT			
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT						
Firm or Individual Name Henry T. Byengizel						
Signature Hen Mary Q Date 3/3/09						
DESTINATE OF MAILING/FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION						
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION 1" class mail: I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service, First Class Mail service, in an envelop addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA22313-1450 on the date shown herein.						
Fax: I hereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically transmitted by facsimile to the United States Patent Office on the date shown herein.						
Henry Brendzel Name of Person Signing Signification Significati						
Name of Person Signing Signing						

PAGE 2/10 * RCVD AT 3/3/2009 11:10:05 AM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-5/20 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:9734676589 * DURATION (mm-ss):04-42

HENRY BRENDZEL Please enter

Afferton 2003-0075

/Shaheda Abdin/ 03/23/2009

MAR 0 3 2009

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Patent Application

Inventor(s)

Thomas Afferton

Serial No.

10/798,696

Kenneth Duell

Filing Date

3/11/2004

Simon Zelingher

Examiner

Shaheda A. Abdin

Hossein Eslambolchi

Martin Birk

Kathleen A. Tse

2629

Case Name

Afferton 2003-0075

Art Unit

Title

Network with Optical Bandwidth on Demand

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

SIR:

SUBSTITUTE AMENDMENT REMARKS

This is in response to an Office action dated November 12, 2008.

This substitute amendment is presented because the amendment filed on 2/27/09 inadvertently included the claims from the previous amendment.

Claims 21-25 and 46-47 were rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph. Applicants respectfully traverse.

A telephonic interview was held with the Examiner on 2/12/2009. The Examiner explained that she could not clearly understand the claims and could not clearly establish correspondences between the claim language and the drawings (to assist her in understanding the claims). Applicants' representative explained that the rejection - by virtue of the Examiner citing MPEP 2173,05(d) - appeared to focus on a purported use of an example in the claims, and that the claims contained no examples. The Examiner did not quite admit that the citing of MPEP 2173.05(d) was not appropriate.

Because the interview left the issue of MPEP 2173.05(d) unresolved, the following formally responds to the rejection as it is formulated.

In connection with claim 21, the Examiner asserts that the term "a first optical director" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s)